## **External Costs of Waste Treatment: comparison Landfill**  ↔ **Incineration**

*Ari RablEcole des Mines de Paris*

### **Methodology**

#### **1) Life Cycle Assessment** (LCA)

to account for upstream and downstream impacts

#### **2) Site specific impact pathway analysis**

(for each pollutant: emission→dispersion→impact→cost)

#### **based on**

**ExternE** = "External Costs of Energy" funded by European Commission DG Research, since 1991 >100 scientists in all countries of EUMajor publications **1995**, **1998**, **2000, 2004 www.externe.info**



Results extremely dependent on assumptions on energy recovery  $\Rightarrow$  Consider several scenarios

#### **Impact Pathway Analysis**

to calculate damage of a pollutant emitted by a source

Impacts are summed over entire region that is affected (Europe) and all damage types that can be quantified: •health

•loss of agricultural production

•damage to buildings and materials



Multiply by  $kg/t_{waste}$ to get  $\Theta$ t<sub>waste</sub>



DOSE

3

## **Methods and Priority Impacts**

## $\mathbf{1)~\mathbf{CO}_{2}}$  and  $\mathbf{CH}_{4}$

assume **19 Euro/tCO 2**

### **2) Air pollutants**:

*Assume emissions = limit values of EC Directive real emissions probably lower (but difficult to get data)* NO <sup>x</sup>, SO 2, PM10, VOC (O 3 from VOC and NO x) *"classic air pollutants"* impacts on **health**, buildings, crops Dioxins and toxic metals (As, Cd, Cr, Hg, Ni, Pb) *"trace pollutants"*

#### **3) Leachates from landfill, residues from incineration**

#### **4) Amenity impacts**

meta-analysis of literature (loss of property values)

# **Key Assumptions**

**Local + regional** dispersion models

**Linear dose-response functions for health (no threshold):**

Mostly  $PM<sub>2.5</sub>$ ,  $PM<sub>10</sub>$ ,  $O<sub>3</sub>$ A few for  $\mathrm{SO}_2$  and CO None for  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ Sulfates are treated like PM $_{10}$ , Nitrates like  $0.5 \times \mathrm{PM}_{10}$ also As, Cd, Cr, Hg, Ni and Pb

**Mortality** in terms of LLE (loss of life expectancy) rather than number of deaths

**Monetary valuation** based on Willingness-to-pay (**WTP**) to avoid a loss: **Value of a Life Year** (VOLY) due to air pollution = **50,000 € Cancers 2M€ cancer**, based on  $VSL = 1$  M€ (VSL  $=$  "Value of Statistical Life"  $=$  WTP to avoid risk of an anonymous premature death; *typical values used in EU and USA 1-5 M€)*

 $\epsilon$ /kg

1E-1 1E+0 1E+1 1E+2 1E+3 1E+4 1E+5 1E+6 1E+7 1E+8 1E+9

**Damage Cost per kg of Pollutant, and uncertainty (error bars and probability distribution)**  $h =$  stack height

These are values for France, but they are fairly typical for central Europe.

**For greenhouse gases**   $0.019 \text{ Ekg}_{CO2eq}$ 



# **Impact of Leachates**

## **Difficulties of quantification**:

**Long life time of pollutants** in soil (unlimited for toxic metals) Very **complex pathways** (diffusion and chemistry in soil) **Extremely site dependent** (how to obtain typical damage costs for policy applications?) **Depends on future management** of site

## **Alternative approach**:

Look at measured data for concentration of pollutants in leachate, Estimate maximal leachate production rate,

Consider an **extreme scenario** where **all the leachate goes into the water supply**, Compare pollutant concentration in water with limit values of Water Quality Directive,

## **Result: concentrations below limit values**

# ⇒ **Not a significant problem**

if EC Directives are respected (attack other sources of these pollutants before worrying about leachates!)

## **comparison Incineration**  ↔ **Landfill Variation with energy recovery assumptions**

For energy recovery: E=electricity, H=heat, g=gas, o=oil, c=coal



## **Incineration, some detailed results**

•If electricity displaces nuclear (France), like no energy recovery.

•Transport based on hypothetical 100 km.



### **Landfill, some detailed results**

•If electricity displaces nuclear (France), like no energy recovery. •Transport based on hypothetical 100 km.





## **Methodological issues**

•How large is **cost of global warming**? (we have assumed 19  $\epsilon_{\text{CO2eq}}$ , but uncertain and controversial)

main impact of landfill

•**Biomass CO 2** – include or exclude in the calculation? One should count each source and sink when and where it occurs!

•How large are the **CH 4 emissions** (taking into account oxidation)?

•What fraction of landfill CH $_4$  can be captured?

•Transferability of **incineration amenity** – very site-specific

# **Conclusions**

- •**Environmental costs are significant** - dominated by  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$ , then air pollution, then amenity
- $\bullet$ **Trace air pollutants**: low for toxic metals, negligible for dioxins
- $\bullet$  Strong **variation across countries and sites** – differences in damage cost per kg of pollutant, differences in amenity cost, (differences in emissions?)
- $\bullet$  **Strong variation with energy recovery** – especially with incinerators (can potentially change the attractiveness over landfill). Benefit greatest if constant heat load.
- $\bullet$ • Assumed value for  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  and  $\mathrm{CH}_4$  can change the overall comparison incinerators over landfill (value is **controversial and uncertain**)
- • Impact of **leachates** from landfill or from incineration residues negligible (if EC Directives are respected)
- $\bullet$ Impacts due to **transport** (if < 100 km) very small
- •• **CH<sub>4</sub> collection and energy recovery** can significantly reduce environmental costs of landfill